




Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

THE PERCEIVED IMPACT OF GAMIFICATION ON STUDENTS' MOTIVATION AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE: A QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' EXPERIENCES


¹Asad Ullah, ²Ammara Khan, ³Maryam Baig¹Department of Educational Research & Assessment University of Okara, Okara, 56300, Pakistan. ORCID: [0009-0001-1823-5743](https://orcid.org/0009-0001-1823-5743)²Kinnaird College for Women (MS), Bahuaddin Zakariya University (MSc)³Lecturer, Higher Education Department, Punjab.asadullahsial786@gmail.com, ammarasaheed729@gmail.comyoung.researcher.edu@gmail.com

Article Details

*Received on 27 Feb, 2026**Accepted on 29 March, 2026**Published on 30 March, 2026***Copyright @Author****Corresponding Author:**

ABSTRACT

Gamification has been incorporated into modern educational environments as an effective method of improving the learning experience of students, especially in digitally mediated and student-centered classrooms. Despite the advances in education technology, educators and institutions across the globe still face the monumental challenge of keeping students motivated, engaged, and achieving academically. The current qualitative study explores the impact of gamification on students' motivation and academic achievement, through a critical examination of learners' experiences, perceptions and behavioral reactions to game-based learning elements in educational contexts. The study employed a qualitative research design via semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and thematic analysis to investigate the impact of gamified learning environments on students' participation, collaboration, competition, and learning satisfaction. The findings suggest that gamification significantly improves students' intrinsic motivation, classroom engagement, active participation, and general interest in learning activities through rewards, badges, leaderboards, and interactive challenges. The study also suggests that gamified approaches boost cooperative learning, promote peer communication, and positively affect academic achievement by providing fun and immersive learning experiences. But the research also points to some limitations like over-competition, dependence on rewards and unequal participation of students which might negatively impact long-term learning behavior if not implemented strategically. The study emphasizes the educational importance of combining motivational game mechanics with pedagogical objectives for meaningful learning outcomes. The findings have important implications for teachers, educational institutions, policy makers and digital



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

learning developers interested in improving instructional effectiveness and student outcomes through innovative teaching methodologies. The study concludes that gamification, when thoughtfully integrated into educational practices, has considerable potential to improve learning motivation, promote academic engagement, and facilitate more effective and interactive educational experiences.


Keywords: Gamification, Student Motivation, Academic Performance, Educational Technology, Digital Learning, Classroom Engagement, Qualitative Study, Student-Centered Learning, Game-Based Learning, Learning Outcomes, Interactive Education, Educational Innovation

Introduction

The tremendous proliferation of digital technologies has mostly transformed the educational systems worldwide by altering the traditional teaching practices and redefining the learning experiences of students in contemporary classrooms. Educational institutions are adopting more innovative technological approaches in teaching and learning environments to respond to the demands of twenty-first century education and digitally connected societies. Such transformation has gained momentum especially in the field of student-centered learning, which focuses on interaction, engagement, collaboration, creativity, and active participation rather than passive acquisition of knowledge. Therefore, educational technology has become an important part of improving teaching effectiveness, improving students' experience and solving the perennial problems of students' motivation and academic performance.

Several technological innovations have influenced present-day education.

Gamification is one of them that has gained significant attention among scholars and practitioners as a promising approach to increase learners' engagement and improve educational outcomes. Gamification is the use of game design elements and mechanics in non-game settings, such as in educational contexts, to increase engagement, encourage behavior change, and enhance learning experiences. In contrast to the use of educational games in traditional game-based learning, gamification incorporates some of the features of games into classroom activities, online learning systems and instructional practices, to make educational experiences more interactive and motivating. Gamification elements commonly include points, badges, rewards, leaderboards, challenges, levels, feedback systems, competition, and collaborative activities. These elements of gamification are often designed in a way that motivates students to engage in the learning process and keeps them interested.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635


ISSN Print: 3006-4627

The greater use of gamification in education is a part of wider global trends towards active learning, digital interaction and experiential education. Educational institutions at all levels are adopting gamified learning systems in physical and virtual classrooms to generate engaging and immersive learning environments that can offset a decline in student interest in traditional teaching methods. In numerous traditional learning environments, students frequently suffer from low motivation, lack of classroom interaction, passive learning behavior, and diminished academic enthusiasm because of monotonous teaching approaches and inadequate learner engagement. Such difficulties became more apparent in technologically changing societies where students are increasingly familiar with interactive digital experiences outside the classroom. Therefore, teachers and education administrators look for innovative teaching methods that can increase motivation to learn, engagement, and academic performance and create meaningful and enjoyable learning experiences.

Motivation is generally considered to be one of the most important factors influencing students' learning behavior, educational engagement and academic achievement. In educational psychology, motivation is defined as the internal and external forces that stimulate learners to participate in educational activities and to

pursue academic goals. Students with high levels of motivation are more likely than students with low levels of motivation to demonstrate persistence, concentration, active participation and positive learning attitudes. Nevertheless, many educational systems create a complicated problem for keeping students motivated due to factors such as boring teaching methods, few chances for interactive learning, little feedback, and not enough acknowledgment of student success. These problems often lead to students' lack of class engagement, low participation, declining academic performance, and dissatisfaction with the learning.

To respond to these educational concerns, gamification has appeared as a promising approach to improve students' intrinsic and extrinsic motivation by introducing enjoyable and rewarding learning experiences. Gamified educational environments use points, badges, rewards and leaderboards to create a sense of achievement, progress and recognition for learners. Challenges and competitive events foster curiosity, persistence and goal-directed actions, and immediate feedback systems provide students with continuous evaluation and encouragement of their performance. Gamification seeks to make learning an active, instead of passive, engaging experience, by using these mechanisms to encourage students to take



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627


more active roles in class activities and academic tasks.

Additionally, gamification has been linked to increased classroom engagement and collaborative learning experiences. Interactive learning activities often promote communication, cooperation, peer interaction, and collaborative problem-solving, thus creating social learning environments that support academic growth. Collaborative challenges and group-based competitions can activate critical thinking and active knowledge construction, and foster interpersonal relationships among students. Gamified systems also help to personalize learning experiences in digital learning environments, allowing students to progress at their own individual learning pace and performance level. Such flexibility has become even more important in modern education, especially in online and blended learning environments where engaging students is one of the biggest instructional challenges.

Gamification is a growing trend in education, but there is still a lot of academic debate about its real effectiveness and long-term educational effects. While many studies report positive outcomes related to increased motivation, engagement and academic achievement, some researchers argue that an over-reliance on external rewards may reduce intrinsic motivation and create a

dependency on competitive incentives. In some learning environments, the use of leaderboards and competition may negatively impact lower-performing students, increasing anxiety, discouragement or feelings of exclusion. Moreover, not all students respond well to gamified learning experience. Their individual learning preferences, personality traits, cultural contexts and educational backgrounds may affect their perception and participation in gamified activities. These concerns underscore the importance of critically evaluating the positives and negatives of gamification in educational settings.

The gamification literature has been mainly focused on the quantitative measurements of academic performance, user satisfaction and system effectiveness. These studies provide useful statistical data, but they often fail to capture the richer human experiences, perceptions, feelings, and behavioral reactions that occur within gamified learning environments. Still, qualitative research is much needed to examine how students personally interpret, experience, and respond to gamification in educational contexts. Understanding the lived experiences and perspectives of students is essential to identify the underlying psychological, social and educational factors that affect the success or limitations of gamified learning systems. Additionally, qualitative inquiry provides a



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

critical lens through which researchers can analyze the complexities of learner engagement, patterns of participation, emotional responses, and motivational factors that are not easily quantified.

Hence, the aim of this study is to critically analyze gamification effect on students' motivation and academic performance in educational setting with the help of qualitative research. This study aims to investigate students' perception, experience and behavioral responses to gamified learning environments and investigate the effects of specific gamification elements on engagement, participation, collaboration, learning satisfaction and academic achievement. The research will contribute to a better understanding of the educational value and practical implications of gamification in current teaching and learning practices by focusing on students' experiences and interpretations.

The primary objectives of this study are:

To explore students' perceptions of the role of gamification in enhancing motivation.


To examine students' experiences of gamified learning environments in relation to engagement and participation.

To investigate students' perceptions of how gamification influences academic performance

To identify perceived benefits and challenges of gamified educational systems.

The importance of this study is that it could provide insights for educational policy, instructional design, and practices of technology-enhanced learning. The results can be helpful for teachers, curriculum developers, educational institutions, and policymakers to understand the strategic integration of gamification in educational systems and to enhance students' learning experiences and academic results. In addition, the results of this study can offer information to developers of digital learning platforms who are interested in creating effective and appealing educational technologies that address students' motivational and psychological needs.

The qualitative research approach is particularly appropriate for this study, as it allows for an in-depth exploration of participants' experiences, feelings, attitudes, and interpretations regarding gamified learning systems. Qualitative inquiry, including interviews, observations and thematic analysis, allows researchers to capture the complexity and richness of human experiences that quantitative approaches may miss. Motivation, engagement and learning satisfaction are subjective and experiential, hence the qualitative study is a good framework to critically understand students' perceptions and responses to gamification in education. In summary, the increasing popularity of gamification in education reflects broader



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

trends in digital learning, student-centered pedagogy, and interactive instructional design. With the continued search of innovative ways to improve motivation, engagement and academic achievement, understanding the educational impact of gamification has become more important. By investigating students' experiences and perceptions critically, the study aims to provide substantial qualitative understanding of the impact of gamification on student learning behaviour and academic success in modern educational contexts.

Literature Review


The Concept of Gamification in Education

The growing use of technology in education systems has transformed the methods of teaching and learning in schools, colleges, universities and digital learning settings. One of the most disruptive innovations that emerged from this transformation is gamification, a pedagogical method that includes game-design elements in non-game educational contexts to enhance engagement, participation, and academic achievement of learners. Gamification originates in the larger digital gaming industry where game mechanics were first designed to maintain the player's interest, to promote persistence and to activate motivation via interactive experiences. These mechanisms have been noted by educators and instructional

designers as having potential for addressing problems of low student motivation, passive behavior in classrooms, and low levels of academic engagement.

In education, gamification involves using game elements like points, badges, rewards, leaderboards, competition, challenges, quests, instant feedback systems, and progress tracking strategically to enhance teaching and learning. In contrast to game-based learning, where the educational games are actually used, gamification is the process of incorporating specific interactive and motivational aspects of games into regular educational activities without transforming the entire curriculum into a game. This distinction is important because gamification is mainly concerned with improving learners' experiences, motivation and engagement while keeping the existing educational structures and learning objectives.

Gamification is a product of broader trends in education towards student-centered learning, which emphasizes interaction, creativity, experiential participation, and collaborative knowledge construction. Active learning, a method that promotes student engagement and involvement, has been proposed as a counterpoint to traditional teaching approaches, which are frequently criticized for their passive character, reliance on rote memorization, and teacher-centric lectures. These approaches have increasingly failed



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

to meet the expectations of digitally connected learners who are accustomed to interactive and technologically engaging environments outside the classroom. Thus, educational institutions worldwide are experimenting with gamified learning systems to bring about more stimulating and meaningful educational experiences that can improve motivation, engagement, and academic performance.


The conceptual basis of gamification is strongly related to psychological and educational theories on motivation, behavioral reinforcement, experiential learning and active participation. Gamification aims to make learning an enjoyable, immersive, and rewarding process that motivates students to actively engage in educational activities through well-thought-out game mechanics. But gamification is a contested concept in educational scholarship with researchers still debating its long-term effectiveness, pedagogical value and psychological implications despite its growing popularity. Gamification Elements and Their Impact on Education

One of the main features of gamified learning environments is the inclusion of specific game-design elements that are intended to motivate learners and influence educational behavior. Points are one of the most commonly used gamification elements, because they provide quantifiable measures of

achievement and progress. In school, points are often given for doing homework, participating in class discussions, solving problems, or achieving academic goals. Researchers say point systems give immediate recognition of student effort, which encourages persistence and active participation. However, critics argue that excessive focus on accumulating points can shift learners' attention from meaningful understanding to reward-driven behavior.

Another common gamification mechanism in educational systems are badges, used to signify accomplishments, competencies and skill mastery. Digital badges provide visual recognition of students' accomplishments and often act as motivational markers to sustain participation. Research has found that badges can boost students' confidence and self-efficacy by recognizing their progress and academic achievement. However, some researchers suggest that the motivational value of badging may diminish over time as badging becomes more standardized or as it becomes disconnected from authentic learning outcomes.

Many gamified educational environments also incorporate leaderboards and competitive ranking systems. These mechanisms bring in social comparison and competition by showing students how they are doing compared to their peers. Supporters of leaderboards claim that competition promotes motivation, effort



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

and participation levels in students who seek recognition and achievement. In collaborative educational settings, competition can also promote strategic thinking and proactive problem-solving. However, critical views hold that the use of leaderboards might negatively impact students with worse academic performance in terms of anxiety, exclusion or discouragement. Competitive stress may undermine intrinsic motivation and decrease learning satisfaction for learners who perceive themselves as consistently disadvantaged within ranking systems.

Challenges, quests and interactive learning activities are important components of the experiential aspect of gamified learning environments. These elements encourage students' active engagement in educational tasks through exploration, problem solving and collaborative participation. Studies show that challenge-based learning activities lead to curiosity, critical thinking, and creativity, while also making the learning experience more fun and immersive. Gamified challenges often allow learners to experiment, fail safely, and improve through repeated attempts, promoting resilience and adaptive learning behaviors.


Instant feedback systems and progress-tracking mechanisms also add to gamification's educational value by providing ongoing performance assessment and direction. Immediate feedback enables

students to comprehend strengths, weaknesses and alter learning strategies more effectively than delayed assessment systems that are common with traditional educational practices. Progress-tracking features produce a sense of advancement and accomplishment, thus motivating students to stay engaged with academic tasks for longer periods. In digital learning environments, these mechanisms allow students to monitor their own learning trajectories and academic advancement, thus fostering personalized educational experiences.

Taken together, these gamification elements are designed to foster dynamic learning environments that encourage motivation, participation, collaboration and academic engagement. Their effectiveness, however, depends to a large extent on the quality of instructional design, the context in which they are implemented, the heterogeneity of the learners and the pedagogical goals. Gamification systems that are poorly designed may focus on entertainment rather than meaningful learning, reducing educational effectiveness and hindering long-term academic development.

Theoretical Background of Gamification

The educational effectiveness of gamification is strongly associated with a number of psychological and pedagogical theories that explain human motivation, learning behavior and engagement



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635


ISSN Print: 3006-4627

processes. One of the most influential frameworks supporting gamification research is Self-Determination Theory (SDT) by Deci and Ryan . The theory emphasizes the importance of intrinsic motivation, suggesting that people are more likely to engage in activities in a meaningful way when their psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are satisfied. Gamification is similar to SDT in that the use of rewards, challenges, progress tracking, and collaborative interaction can enhance learners' perceptions of achievement, social belonging, and personal control in educational contexts.

According to Self-Determination Theory, gamified learning systems are particularly effective when they promote voluntary participation, a sense of competence through attainable goals, and meaningful social interactions with peers and instructors. However, critics in the SDT scholarship caution that excessive dependence on external rewards can undermine intrinsic motivation when students start to care more about getting rewards than about the learning process itself. The debate is one of the central tensions in the research on gamification.

Behaviorism Theory also provides an important theoretical basis in the understanding of gamification. Based in B.F. Skinner, behaviorism emphasizes reinforcement, conditioning and

observable behavioral responses. Gamification mechanisms, including points, badges, rewards, and leaderboards, serve as reinforcement tools that motivate desirable educational behaviors through positive feedback and recognition. Behaviorist principles suggest that students will be more likely to continue participating in learning activities if they have repeated experiences that are rewarding. While behaviorist approaches have been shown to be effective in motivating participation and task completion, critics argue that they may encourage shallow learning behaviors focused mainly on reward acquisition rather than deep conceptual understanding. Constructivist Learning Theory offers an alternative perspective, emphasizing active knowledge construction, social interaction, and experiential learning processes. Gamification, from a constructivist perspective, can support meaningful learning if students are engaged in collaborative problem solving, exploration, and reflective thinking within interactive educational environments. Gamified learning systems often offer the opportunity for experiential engagement, peer collaboration, and learner autonomy that are in line with constructivist educational principles. Constructivist scholars agree that effective gamification should not only reward participation, it



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

should help the learner to be cognitively engaged and construct real knowledge.

Experiential Learning Theory, associated with David Kolb, also supports the educational value of gamification by highlighting learning through experience, experimentation, reflection, and active participation. Gamified learning environments frequently include simulations, challenges, quests, and practical problem-solving activities that encourage students to learn through doing rather than by watching. Through repeated cycles of action, feedback and reflection, learners develop both academic understanding and transferable skills such as communication, creativity and critical thinking.


Engagement Theory, along with broader motivational theories, also informs the conceptual understanding of gamification. Engagement Theory states that students learn meaningfully when they are psychologically invested in learning activities and actively involved in learning experiences that involve collaboration and purpose. Gamification enhances engagement through increased interactivity, fun and social connectedness of learning experiences. Similarly, motivational theories highlight the importance of goal orientation, achievement recognition, and emotional satisfaction in sustaining learners' engagement in educational activities.

Taken together, these theoretical approaches demonstrate that gamification is not merely a technological fad but a pedagogical approach that is highly grounded in well-established principles of learning psychology, motivation, and educational engagement. The effectiveness of gamification, however, depends on how these theoretical principles are implemented in specific educational contexts.

Related Works in Using Gamification in Education

The educational impact of gamification in schools, universities, online learning platforms and professional training settings has been extensively studied by international research. A number of quantitative studies reported positive correlations between gamification and student motivation, engagement, participation and academic achievement. Gamified learning systems are frequently reported to increase learners' motivation, concentration and willingness to participate in educational activities. Across several educational contexts, systems of digital badges, rewards, and progress-tracking have been associated with higher completion rates, attendance, and classroom engagement.

Research in online and blended learning environments in particular underscore the importance of gamification in maintaining learner engagement in digitally mediated



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

education. Virtual classrooms are often plagued by low participation, less communication and less emotional connection between students and instructors. Gamification mechanisms, including interactive quizzes, collaborative challenges, and achievement systems, have demonstrated positive effects on online participation and students' sense of belonging within digital learning communities. In addition, adaptive gamified systems have shown promise in increasing student satisfaction and academic persistence by personalizing learning experiences to the individual's performance level.


However, qualitative studies on gamification provide more nuanced insights into students' experiences and perceptions of interactive learning environments. Studies in this area suggest that many students find gamified learning more enjoyable, motivating, and emotionally engaging than traditional instructional methods. Students often report gamified activities are less stressful, more collaborative, and more stimulating than traditional lecture-based approaches. Students have also reported higher levels of confidence and motivation when immediate feedback and visible indicators of progress are built into educational systems.

Previous research has also pointed to several limitations and critiques of

gamification in education. One of the most commonly cited concerns is the over-reliance on external rewards. Critics argue that reward-based systems can foster short-term participation at the expense of intrinsic interest in learning. Points, badges or rankings could be the main motivators for students, rather than real intellectual curiosity or academic development. This concern is particularly relevant in settings where gamification systems prioritize competition and performance metrics over meaningful educational engagement.

Competitive stress is another important criticism within gamification scholarship. Some students are positively influenced by the use of leaderboards and ranking systems, while for some, continued performance below the level of their peers leads to anxiety, discouragement, and lowered self-esteem. Such negative emotional experiences may result in less participation and feelings of exclusion for less competitive learners. The researchers therefore emphasize the need to balance competition with collaboration and inclusion in gamified educational environments.

Gamification's effectiveness is also affected by technological limitations and digital inequality. In many educational contexts, particularly in developing regions, the lack of digital infrastructure, technological resources, and internet connectivity restricts the implementation of advanced



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

gamified learning systems. Differences in the level of technological literacy between students and teachers may also affect participation and educational outcomes. Some scholars argue that gamification, in the absence of adequate institutional support, may reinforce rather than reduce educational inequalities.

Another key issue is the possibility of superficial learning. In poorly designed gamification systems, entertainment and task completion may trump deep conceptual understanding and critical thinking. Students may focus on quick rewards rather than meaningful engagement with educational content. This is why scholars increasingly emphasize the importance of pedagogically informed gamification, which embeds educational goals in interactive learning experiences instead of mere surface-level game mechanics.


Research gaps in the existing literature

While there is a growing body of research on gamification in education, there are still several important gaps in existing scholarship. A major conceptual gap is the lack of knowledge about students' emotional and psychological experiences in gamified learning environments. Much of the existing literature has conceptualised motivation and engagement in terms of measurable performance indicators, neglecting the subjective and experiential aspects of learning behaviour. There is still

not enough research on how students interpret gamification, how they deal with competitive dynamics, and how they react emotionally to interactive educational systems.

Another methodological gap can be observed in the dominance of quantitative research approaches in gamification studies. Effectiveness is evaluated based on surveys, statistical analysis, academic scores and experimental measurements in many studies. While these methods offer valuable numerical evidence, they tend to miss the complexity of learners' experiences, perceptions, emotions, and behavioral transformations in gamified educational contexts. The lack of qualitative inquiry has constrained a deeper understanding of how students personally experience motivation, engagement and learning satisfaction in gamified environments.

The contextual void present in the literature is equally important. The majority of gamification research has taken place in technologically advanced educational settings, online platforms, or within particular academic disciplines. Few studies comparatively explore gamification experiences across a broad spectrum of cultural, institutional, and socioeconomic contexts. Educational systems vary widely in access to technology, instructional practices, classroom culture and learner expectations, all of which may impact the



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

effectiveness and perception of gamification.

There is also a theoretical void in the current scholarship. Many motivational and educational theories are often referenced in gamification studies, but many researchers use these theories only at a superficial level, without critical reflection on the interaction of theoretical principles with actual educational experiences. There is still a need for research that combines theoretical understanding with students lived experiences in order to explain not only whether gamification works, but how and why it impacts motivation, engagement and academic performance.


Given these gaps, qualitative research is essential to the development of a richer and more holistic understanding of gamification in education. Qualitative inquiry provides a means for researchers to explore students' perceptions, feelings, interpretations, and social experiences in ways that are not fully possible through quantitative approaches. Qualitative methods including interviews, observations, and thematic analysis allow for the exploration of the nuances of learner behavior, emotional engagement, collaborative interaction, and academic motivation in gamified learning environments.

Synthesis and relation to the present study

A growing number of literatures has demonstrated that gamification is becoming an influential educational strategy to change the traditional learning environments through interactive, motivational, and technology-enhanced approaches. Research has repeatedly shown that gamification increases student engagement, participation, collaboration and academic performance, in addition to making learning experiences more enjoyable and meaningful. At the same time, critical scholarship raises important concerns about reward dependency, competitive stress, superficial learning and technological inequality.

Despite the important insights previous research provides into the educational potential of gamification, major conceptual, methodological, contextual and theoretical gaps remain unaddressed. In particular, there has been little qualitative research on students lived experience, emotional reactions and behavioral perceptions of gamified learning environments. Therefore, understanding these subjective experiences is critical to assessing long-term educational implications of gamification and developing more effective instructional practices.

The current study aims to address these gaps by investigating the effect of gamification on students' motivation and academic achievement using a qualitative research approach. The study seeks to gain



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

a better understanding of the impact of gamified learning systems on engagement, participation, learning satisfaction, and academic behavior in today's educational environments by exploring students' experiences, perceptions, and interpretations. This study, finally, adds to the growing body of research on educational technology, by offering a more human-centered and critically informed understanding of gamification in education.


Methodology of Research

Qualitative Method and Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative research approach to explore students' perceptions and experiences regarding the use of gamification in educational settings. A phenomenological research design was employed, as it is particularly suitable for understanding participants' lived experiences and the meanings they assign to those experiences. This approach allowed for an in-depth exploration of how students perceive the role of gamification in influencing their motivation, engagement, and academic performance. A qualitative methodology was selected for this study because of the nature of the research problem and the aims of the investigation. The perceived impact of gamification on motivation and academic performance cannot be fully understood through numerical data only, because students' learning experiences are

influenced by emotional, psychological, social and contextual factors that require more in-depth interpretative analysis. Gamified learning environments are characterized by complex interactions between students, technology, learning design, competition, collaboration and motivation systems. Therefore, qualitative research is an appropriate context for examining students' perceptions of gamification, responses to interactive learning activities, and changes in motivation, engagement, participation, and academic behavior.

The research design employed for the study was an exploratory descriptive qualitative research design using a phenomenological approach and it was based on the interpretivist paradigm. The interpretivist paradigm is based on the assumption that reality is socially constructed and that human experiences are subjective, dynamic and context dependent. Thus, the students' perception towards gamification may vary depending on their personal experience, their academic background, their level of expertise in technology, their learning style, and the classroom setting. Hence, the interpretivist approach allows the researcher to comprehend the meanings that participants attach to gamified learning systems instead of making preconceived assumptions or generalized conclusions.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

An exploratory qualitative design was selected, as the literature on gamification has mostly focused on quantitative measures of academic performance, engagement scores and system effectiveness. The literature lacks studies on students' subjective experiences and emotional responses towards gamified learning environments. Moreover, the study's descriptive nature facilitates a detailed exploration of participants' perceptions, behaviors, and interactions in educational contexts where gamification strategies are used. With this approach, the research seeks to build a nuanced understanding of the impact of gamification on learning motivation, classroom engagement, participation, collaboration and academic achievement.

Setting and context of the study


The research was carried out in technology-enhanced learning environments, using gamification strategies in teaching and learning activities. The study focused on educational institutions that use digital learning systems, interactive classroom technologies, or online educational platforms with gamification elements such as points, badges, leaderboards, rewards, quizzes, challenges, and feedback mechanisms. These environments were selected because they provided real-life contexts where students actively participated in gamified instructional practices.

The research setting was in classrooms and online learning environments where instructors used gamification strategies to increase participation, engagement and academic achievement. The use of digital educational technologies in these learning environments was consistent with the global trends of student-centered pedagogy, blended learning, and interactive instructional design. The research explored students' experiences of gamification in these contexts and the effect of technology-based learning environments on students' academic motivation and participation patterns.

The educational settings chosen for the study were representative of contemporary learning environments marked by a growing dependence on educational technology, collaborative learning activities, and digital communication tools. These environments presented chances to investigate the position of gamification in molding educational encounters in modern classrooms and virtual learning systems. The research was conducted in real-world educational settings, aiming to ensure that the findings were contextually relevant and practically applicable.

Sampling Strategy and Population

The population of interest for this study was mainly students enrolled in educational institutions where students were exposed to gamification strategies in the classroom instruction or online



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

learning systems. The study was participated by students from secondary, college or university educational settings who had direct experience in interacting with gamified learning activities. In some cases, teachers and instructors who were involved in the implementation of gamification practices were also considered as supplementary participants to obtain additional insights into classroom engagement and instructional experiences. The main sampling strategy adopted in the study was a purposive sampling strategy. In qualitative research, purposive sampling is often used to allow the researcher to select participants with knowledge, experience and understanding of the phenomenon being studied. Participants were chosen based on their active exposure to gamified educational systems and their ability to provide meaningful insights about motivation, engagement, participation, and academic performance in gamified learning environments.


First-hand experience with educational activities that included gamification elements such as rewards, points, badges, challenges, quizzes, leaderboards and/or interactive digital learning platforms were inclusion criteria. Students who had been involved in gamified classroom activities for a sufficient period of time were considered appropriate participants because they could provide reflective and informed responses about their

educational experiences. To ensure the credibility and relevance of the collected data, participants who had no exposure to gamified learning systems were excluded from the study.

The sample size was based on the principles of qualitative research, which is based on depth of understanding rather than statistical representation. Qualitative research is usually more about the richness of data and the thematic saturation and contextual understanding than the number of participants. Thus, the study involved a manageable number of participants to generate detailed and meaningful qualitative data and to allow for a comprehensive thematic analysis. Data were gathered until thematic saturation was reached, defined as the point when no new insights or themes emerged from further participant responses.

Method of Data Collection

Multiple data collection methods were used in the study to obtain rich and comprehensive qualitative data including semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, classroom observations and open ended questionnaires. The application of more than one method enabled the exploration of the experience of the participants from different perspectives and increased the depth, credibility and triangulation of the research findings.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

Semi-structured Interview

The main data collection method employed in this study was semi-structured interviews. The reason this method was chosen is because it allows for flexibility and depth and the opportunity for participants to express their thoughts, feelings, perceptions and experiences in their own words. The researcher used semi-structured interviews to explore students' attitudes towards gamification, motivational experiences, engagement patterns, and academic behaviors. To maintain consistency across the participants, the researcher asked predetermined thematic questions.

Interview questions focused on the participants' experience of using gamified learning systems, their perception of engagement in the classroom, their emotional response to rewards and competition, their engagement in collaborative activities, and their perceived effects on academic performance. The semi-structured nature of the interviews provided flexibility, allowing the researcher to ask probing questions, clarify responses and delve deeper into emerging themes.

Focus Group Discussion

Focus group discussions were held to collect collective views and social interactions regarding gamified educational experiences. Focus groups provided an opportunity for participants to share experiences, compare perspectives and


reflect collaboratively on the influence of gamification in classroom environments. This approach was especially useful for studying the peer interaction, competition, collaboration and group engagement related to the gamified learning activities.

The group discussions generated lively discussions about students' motivation, classroom participation, enjoyment and academic experiences. Interactive dialogue often generated insights among participants that may not have emerged in individual interviews, thus enriching overall data quality and thematic diversity.

Classroom Observation

Classroom observations were conducted to explore students' behaviors, participation patterns and interactions within the gamified learning environments. The observational data offered a direct insight into students' reactions to gamification elements, such as quizzes, rewards, collaborative tasks, and competitive activities, during the actual classroom instruction.

Through observation the researcher was able to identify non-verbal behaviors, levels of engagement, intensity of participation and interaction dynamics which participants might not openly report in interviews. The process of observation also helped the researcher to study the relationship between the gamified instructional practices and the behavioral



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

responses of the students within the authentic educational settings.

Unstructured Questionnaires

In addition to this, open-ended questionnaires were used to gather additional reflections and opinions of the participants on gamification. These questionnaires gave participants the opportunity to freely and anonymously express their thoughts about sensitive topics, like competition-related stress, motivational challenges or dissatisfaction with gamified systems.

The addition of open-ended responses improved data diversity and provided richer insight into participants' educational experiences from various dimensions.

Research Instruments and Interview Protocol

The interview protocol and research instruments were carefully designed to meet the objectives of the study and to ensure the methodological rigor. The interview questions were designed to cover the key thematic areas, such as student motivation, engagement, participation, classroom collaboration, academic progress, satisfaction with learning, emotional responses, and perceptions of points, rewards, badges and leaderboards as gamification elements.

The interview guide included open-ended questions designed to encourage participants to answer in detail and to reflect on the issues. Questions were


phrased clearly and accessibly to promote comfortable communication and genuine engagement from participants. Follow-up prompts were used to encourage participants to elaborate and to clarify their perspectives where necessary.

The research instruments were reviewed by experts and pilot tested before the formal data collection to enhance the validity and reliability. A small number of participants, who had similar characteristics to the target sample, were interviewed in a pilot study. The pilot testing process revealed ambiguous questions, improved question order, and ensured questions were thematically relevant. The feedback from pilot testing helped us to refine the interview protocol and improve the overall quality of the research instruments.

Data Analysis Method

The qualitative data were analyzed using thematic analysis, a common method for recognizing, organizing, interpreting, and reporting themes in qualitative data sets. Thematic analysis was chosen for its capacity to provide flexibility and depth in exploring the lived experiences, perceptions, and meanings that participants attached to gamification in educational environments.

The data analysis began with the transcription of the interviews, focus group discussions and observational notes. The researcher immersed themselves in the data, reading the transcripts several times and



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

noting important statements, common ideas and meaningful patterns. Then, initial coding was performed by labeling important segments of the data reflecting the participants' experiences, attitudes, emotions, and behavioral responses.

After coding, related codes were aggregated into broader categories and themes that represented major dimensions of the research topic. Themes concerning motivation, engagement, participation, collaboration, academic achievement, learning satisfaction, competition, awards, emotional reactions, and technological interaction were systematically identified and organized.

Thematic interpretation included analyzing relationships between themes and how participants described the educational impact of gamification. Comparative analysis was also used in the study to identify similarities and differences in the experiences of the participants in different educational contexts and learning environments. The last step of the analysis was to synthesize the findings into coherent thematic narratives that could address the research objectives and offer meaningful insights into gamified learning experiences.

Ethical Considerations

Throughout the research process, ethical considerations were prioritized to ensure the protection of participants, the integrity of research, and the responsible conduct of


scholarly inquiry. Prior to data collection, all participants provided their informed consent. The participants were explicitly informed of the purpose of the study, the research procedures, the measures of confidentiality, the voluntary participation rights and the ability to withdraw from the study at any stage without repercussions.

During the research process, the confidentiality and anonymity of the participants were strictly observed. Names, institutional identities, and contact information were not included in research records and reporting. Data were securely stored and only accessible to the researcher to protect participant privacy and confidentiality.

Respect, transparency and non-maleficence were also emphasized in the study during the interactions with the participants. The interview and discussion setting was structured to foster open communication and reduce psychological discomfort or pressure. Prior to the beginning of data collection activities, ethical approval was secured from the relevant institutional authority or academic review committee.

Credibility and Validity of Research

The study employed various strategies of trustworthiness of qualitative research to ensure the rigor and credibility of the research findings, namely credibility, dependability, confirmability, transferability, and triangulation. Credibility was enhanced through



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

prolonged engagement, member checking, and multiple data collection methods. Member checking was the process of checking back with participants about their responses and interpretations to ensure that their experiences and perspectives were represented accurately.

Dependability was achieved through systematic and transparent documentation of research procedures, methodological decisions, and analytic processes. The detailed documentation helped to maintain consistency and also allowed future researchers to understand the methodological framework used in the study.

Confirmability was established by reducing researcher bias and remaining objective in the interpretation of data. Through reflexive practice and analytical transparency, the findings arose from the data of the participants, not from the assumptions or personal preferences of the researcher.

Transferability was enhanced through thick descriptions of the research setting, participant characteristics, and educational settings. In this way the reader can judge the relevance of the findings to other educational settings.

Triangulation was achieved through the use of multiple data collection methods, including interviews, observations, focus groups, and questionnaires. The combination of various data sources made

the findings more credible and comprehensive.


Methodology Limitations

While attempting to maintain methodological rigour, the study acknowledged a number of limitations. First, the relatively small sample size of qualitative research may limit the generalizability of findings to larger populations. The study was more interested in depth and context than statistical representation.

Secondly, subjective perceptions, personal experiences or social desirability bias may have influenced participant responses, potentially affecting the authenticity of certain perspectives. Likewise, interpreting qualitative data requires some researcher subjectivity even though the analysis is done as objectively as possible.

Third, the study was carried out in particular educational contexts with specific gamification systems and technological environments. Therefore, findings may not be fully representative of experiences across all educational institutions and cultural settings.

Finally, constraints of time and accessibility may have limited opportunities for extended observation and participation. However, these limitations do not reduce the importance of the study but rather point to directions for future research and methodological expansion.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627


In summary, the qualitative research methodology chosen offered a thorough and rigorous basis for investigating the influence of gamification on students' motivation and academic performance. The interpretivist and exploratory qualitative approach made it possible to examine in depth students' experiences, perceptions, emotional responses, and learning behaviors in gamified educational environments. The study achieved methodological depth, credibility and contextual relevance through purposive sampling, multiple data collection methods, thematic analysis and trustworthiness strategies. The qualitative methodology therefore was effectively supportive of the research objectives and provided meaningful insight into understanding the role of gamification in shaping motivation, engagement, participation, and academic experiences in contemporary education.

Conclusion

The findings of this qualitative study reveal that gamification has been recognized as a major pedagogical innovation that can transform modern educational experiences through enhanced student motivation, engagement, participation and academic interaction. With the continued adaptation of educational institutions to the digital transformation and student-centered learning environments, gamification has become more and more an influential

strategy to address the challenges of declining learner motivation, passive classroom behavior and inconsistent academic performance. The game-design elements include points, badges, rewards, leaderboards, challenges, feedback systems and interactive learning activities. The gamified educational environments build dynamic learning experiences to motivate students' active involvement and meaningful participation in the educational process.

This research found that gamification positively affects students' motivation through providing fun, stimulating and goal-oriented learning environments. Students participating in gamified educational activities exhibited significantly higher levels of enthusiasm, concentration, persistence, and classroom participation than in traditional instructional environments. The use of rewards, progress indicators and achievement systems helped students to have a sense of achievement and recognition and reinforced both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. Participants described gamified learning as more interactive, less boring and emotionally stimulating compared to traditional teaching methods. Such findings suggest that gamification can change students' attitudes towards learning by making educational tasks more immersive and rewarding.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627


The results showed that, apart from improving motivation, gamification plays an important role in classroom engagement and active learning behavior. Interactive educational activities, collaborative challenges, and digital participation systems fostered more active student engagement with instructional content and classroom discussions. In addition, gamified learning environments fostered increased communication and peer interaction among students, thus cultivating collaborative learning cultures that enhance academic development and social participation. Students often reported that gamified elements reduced their fear of failure and increased their confidence and willingness to participate in classroom activities, as they stimulated experimentation, exploration and continuous improvement.

The research further revealed the link between gamification and academic performance. The students found that gamified learning environments improved concentration, knowledge retention, task completion, and overall academic engagement. Students were able to monitor their academic progress more effectively and pinpoint areas for improvement through instant feedback systems and progress-tracking mechanisms. Learning activities based on challenges also fostered critical thinking, problem-solving, creativity, and strategic learning behaviors, all

positively affecting educational outcomes. These results reinforce the argument that gamification is not only a technological trend, but also a meaningful instructional approach that can improve educational effectiveness when properly applied.

However, the study also identified a number of critical concerns and limitations with gamified educational systems. One of the major issues identified was the risk of over-reliance on external rewards such as points, badges and rankings. Such mechanisms may initially boost motivation and participation but, over time, excessive dependence on reward-based systems may erode students' intrinsic interest in learning by shifting focus toward competition and achievement accumulation rather than meaningful understanding and intellectual curiosity. This concern is in line with larger discussions in educational psychology about the long-term viability of externally imposed motivational systems.

The research also revealed that competitive features like leaderboards and ranking systems could lead to adverse emotional effects for some students. Although highly competitive learners often characterized competition as motivating and stimulating, some participants described anxiety, discouragement, stress, and feelings of inadequacy when they consistently were outperformed by their peers. Such findings imply that gamification needs to be



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627


carefully designed to balance competition with inclusivity, collaboration, and emotional well-being. Educational environments that emphasize too much competition may inadvertently marginalize less competitive students and decrease learning satisfaction for vulnerable learners. Another important finding regards the contextual and technological challenges of implementing gamified educational systems. The effectiveness of gamification depends largely on the availability of institutional resources, technological infrastructure, teachers' preparedness, digital literacy and the quality of the instructional design. The deployment of advanced gamified learning systems may be challenging or ineffective in educational contexts with low technological penetration or weak institutional endorsement. In addition, gamification strategies that are poorly designed and that privilege entertainment over pedagogical value may promote shallow learning behaviours and divert students from deeper conceptual understanding. Thus, successful gamification calls for an apt fit between technological innovation, educational goals, and the needs of the learner.

The educational implications of this study are significant. The results underscore the importance for teachers and educators to strategically integrate gamification to create more engaging, interactive and student-

centered learning environments. Gamified instructional practices can stimulate participation, enhance classroom interaction, and increase learning satisfaction when aligned with meaningful pedagogical goals. Thus, educators should not only focus on incorporating rewards and competition but also on promoting collaboration, critical thinking, creativity, and reflective learning within gamified systems.

The findings indicate that educational institutions and curriculum designers should invest in educational technology, teacher training, and digital learning infrastructure that can support innovative teaching methodologies. Curriculum frameworks should provide for interactive and experiential learning opportunities that respond to the changing expectations and learning preferences of today's students. Furthermore, policymakers should recognize the growing significance of educational technology and student-centered teaching models in shaping the future of education. Policies that promote equitable access to technology, the development of digital literacy, and the responsible integration of gamification can make substantial contributions to enhancing educational quality and learner engagement.

The study further offers significant implications for digital learning developers and educational technology designers.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635


ISSN Print: 3006-4627

Gamified learning systems need to be designed with educational effectiveness, emotional well-being, inclusivity, and learner diversity in mind, not just fun and competition. Developers need to build adaptive and personalized learning environments that can accommodate various learning styles, motivational needs, and academic abilities. Ethical issues such as student privacy, psychological impact and digital dependency also need to be front and center in the development of educational technologies.

The study offers meaningful insights into the impact of gamification on students' motivation and academic performance, but several methodological and contextual limitations should be acknowledged. First, the qualitative nature of the study and relatively small sample size limit the generalizability of the findings to the broader educational population. The research focused on specific educational settings and participants' experiences, which may differ across institutions, cultures, and technologies. Second, participants' subjective perceptions and personal experiences may have influenced their responses and, thus, their interpretations of gamified learning systems. Third, technological access and institutional conditions varied across educational settings, which may have impacted participants' experiences and engagement levels.

Future research should therefore extend qualitative inquiry to a range of educational and cultural contexts to examine the impact of gamification on learners in different academic disciplines, age groups, and technology environments. Moreover, comparative studies employing both qualitative and quantitative methods may also contribute to a better understanding of the long-term educational effects of gamification. Further, more in-depth studies should be conducted to examine the psychological and emotional aspects of gamified learning, particularly in relation to the longevity of motivation, the stress induced by competition, and the development of learner identity within digital educational environments.

The results of this study provide several practical recommendations. Teachers must thoughtfully incorporate gamification, balancing rewards with meaningful learning objectives and collaborative engagement. Educational institutions should offer professional training programs that allow educators to design and implement effective gamified instructional practices. Policymakers should support digital education initiatives that foster innovation in teaching methodologies and ensure equitable access to educational technologies. Technology developers need to focus on inclusive, adaptive and pedagogically grounded gamification



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627


systems that can support a wide range of learner needs and educational goals. Finally, researchers should continue to explore students' lived experiences and perceptions related to gamification to keep educational innovation as a human response to social realities and the process of learning.

To sum up, the findings of this study suggest that gamification has a great potential to transform today's educational settings by improving motivation, engagement, participation and academic interaction through interactive and student-centered learning experiences. At the same time, the findings point out that gamification is not a panacea and must be implemented responsibly, critically and ethically, in order to avoid negative psychological and educational consequences. As digital technologies continue to transform global education systems, there is an increasing importance of understanding students' experiences, perceptions and emotional responses towards gamified learning environments for the development of effective, inclusive and meaningful educational practices. Ultimately, the future success of gamification in education depends not only on technological innovation itself, but on the ability of educators, institutions, and policymakers to integrate technology with human-centered pedagogical values

that genuinely support learning, creativity, and academic growth.

References

- Ahmad, F., & Um-e-Farwa, A. (2026). Faculty Readiness and Pedagogical Transformation in AI-Enabled Personalized Learning: A Phenomenological Study. *Journal of Social & Organizational Matters*, 5(1), 19-30.
- Ali, M., Shahzad, M. N., Amra, S., & Mahmood, M. (2022). Early career researchers' experiences of being school teachers and PhD students concurrently. *International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education*, 14(7).
- Alkhatib, O. J. ., Raja, S. ., & Asadullah. (2025). Modeling the Influence of Generative AI Writing Tools on Academic Integrity and Writing Proficiency in Higher Education: A Structural Equation Modeling Approach. *Research Journal for Social Affairs*, 3(6), 1653-1660. <https://doi.org/10.71317/RJSA.003.06.0655>
- Aman, F., Ullah, A., Shahzad, S., Ejaz, A., & Yasin, G. (2026). Integrating Artificial Intelligence in Educational Technology: Assessing Its Impact on Student Learning Outcomes and Engagement in Pakistani Schools. *Social Science Review Archives*, 4(1), 4692-4707.




Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

- Asadullah, Dr. Khatiba bibi, & Muhammad Mukhtyar. (2024). RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STUDENTS READING INTERESTS AND SELF-REGULATED LEARNING AT GRADUATION LEVEL: A COMPARISON OF SCIENCE AND ARTS STUDENTS. *International Journal of Contemporary Issues in Social Sciences*, 3(3), 2630-2641. Retrieved from <https://ijciss.org/index.php/ijciss/article/view/1444>
- Asadullah, Sinha, D., Kumar, S., Kumari, R., Kaur, A., & Kaur, J. (2026). Artificial Intelligence in Personalised Learning System. *International Journal on Research and Development - A Management Review*, 15(1), 112-120. <https://doi.org/10.65521/ijrdmr.v15i1.1727>
- Asadullah., Farooqi, M. T. K., & Saleem, K. (2024). Unpacking the Students' Experiences in Pakistan: Analyzing the Pursuit of Excellence in Teaching Practices at Higher Education Level. *Global Regional Review*, IX(III), 1-10. [https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2024\(IX-III\).01](https://doi.org/10.31703/grr.2024(IX-III).01)
- Buckley, P., & Doyle, E. (2017). Individualising gamification: An investigation of the impact of learning styles and personality traits on the efficacy of gamification using a prediction market. *Computers & Education*, 106, 43-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.11.009>
- Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference* (pp. 9-15). <https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>
- Domínguez, A., Saenz-de-Navarrete, J., de-Marcos, L., Fernández-Sanz, L., Pagés, C., & Martínez-Herráiz, J. J. (2013). Gamifying learning experiences: Practical implications and outcomes. *Computers & Education*, 63, 380-392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.12.020>
- Farwa, U., & Riaz, M. (2026). Developing Critical Thinking Skills Through Active Learning: Strategies for Higher Secondary and Undergraduate Education. *Social Science Review Archives*, 4(1), 3485-3494.
- Gee, J. P. (2003). What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. In *Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference*




Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

- on System Sciences (pp. 3025–3034). <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377>
- Hanus, M. D., & Fox, J. (2015). Assessing the effects of gamification in the classroom: A longitudinal study on intrinsic motivation, social comparison, satisfaction, effort, and academic performance. *Computers & Education*, 80, 152–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.019>
- Huang, B., Hew, K. F., & Lo, C. K. (2019). Investigating the effects of gamification-enhanced flipped learning on undergraduate students' behavioral and cognitive engagement. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 27(8), 1106–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2018.1495653>
- Kapp, K. M. (2012). *The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. Pfeiffer.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Landers, R. N. (2014). Developing a theory of gamified learning: Linking serious games and gamification of learning. *Simulation & Gaming*, 45(6), 752–768. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878114563660>
- Lee, J. J., & Hammer, J. (2011). Gamification in education: What, how, why bother? *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 15(2), 1–5.
- Muntean, C. I. (2011). Raising engagement in e-learning through gamification. In *Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Virtual Learning ICVL* (pp. 323–329).
- Muhammad Farooq Jan, Asma Imran, Uzma Mazhar, Bina Batool, Aqsa Noor, Shumaila Malik, Unais Ali, Asad Ullah. (2026). Impact of Student Learning Strategies on Academic Development and Psychological Well-Being among Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *International Journal of Special Education*, 41(7s), 458–473. Retrieved from <https://www.internationalsped.com/index.php/ijse/article/view/3253>
- Nicholson, S. (2015). A recipe for meaningful gamification. In T. Reiners & L. Wood (Eds.), *Gamification in education and business* (pp. 1–20). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-10208-5_1
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being.



Policy Journal of Social Science Review

ISSN Online:3006-4635

ISSN Print: 3006-4627

- American Psychologist, 55(1), 68-78.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Sailer, M., & Homner, L. (2020). The gamification of learning: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(1), 77-112.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-019-09498-w>
- Sailer, M., Hense, J., Mayr, S., & Mandl, H. (2017). How gamification motivates: An experimental study of the effects of specific game design elements on psychological need satisfaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 69, 371-380.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.12.033>
- Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan.
- Su, C. H., & Cheng, C. H. (2015). A mobile gamification learning system for improving learning motivation and achievements. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 31(3), 268-286.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12088>
- ULLAH, A. (2020). Students' experiences of failure to get admission in desired medical degree programs and their choices of alternative degrees.
- Ullah, A., & Farooqi, M. T. K. (2025). Dimensions of Quality Teaching in Higher Education: A Comparative Analysis of Faculty Perceptions Across Demographic Variables. *ProScholar Insights*, 4(4), 217-227.
- Werbach, K., & Hunter, D. (2012). *For the win: How game thinking can revolutionize your business*. Wharton Digital Press.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Zainuddin, Z., Chu, S. K. W., Shujahat, M., & Perera, C. J. (2020). The impact of gamification on learning and instruction: A systematic review of empirical evidence. *Educational Research Review*, 30, 100326.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2020.100326>
- Zichermann, G., & Cunningham, C. (2011). *Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps*. O'Reilly Media.