

# Policy Journal of Social Science Review



## Debt Diplomacy And Sovereignty: Analyzing China's Belt And Road Initiative In Africa & South Asia

**Dr. Muhammad Akram<sup>1</sup>**

**Dr. Fawad Ali<sup>2</sup>**

**Muhammad Shah<sup>3</sup>**

**Dr. Nafid Khan<sup>4</sup>**

**Muhammad Mujeeb Khan<sup>5</sup>**

## Debt Diplomacy And Sovereignty: Analyzing China's Belt And Road Initiative In Africa & South Asia

<b>Dr. Muhammad Akram</b>	Government Shaheed Sher Nawaz Centennial Model High School No: 1 Tank KP. <a href="mailto:akrammtn87@gmail.com">akrammtn87@gmail.com</a>
<b>Dr. Fawad Ali</b>	<a href="mailto:fawad.lohani@gmail.com">fawad.lohani@gmail.com</a> , <a href="mailto:fawad.lohani@gu.edu.pk">fawad.lohani@gu.edu.pk</a>
<b>Muhammad Shah</b>	<a href="mailto:Shahmuhammadbarki0@gmail.com">Shahmuhammadbarki0@gmail.com</a>
<b>Dr. Nafid Khan Muhammad</b>	Department Of IBA Gomal University DI.Khan
<b>Mujeeb Khan</b>	Department Of Political Science Gomal University DI.Khan. <a href="mailto:mujeebgudik@gmail.com">mujeebgudik@gmail.com</a>

### Abstract

Debt diplomacy allows this paper to examine, via China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), how national sovereignty in South Asia and Africa has been impacted. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which has raised China's global influence by large-scale infrastructure projects funded by Chinese loans, causes some concern about China's political might and the sustainability of its debt. Some have expressed worries that in return for more debt they cannot afford, recipient countries could be compelled to cede some of their sovereignty. Key cases examined in this paper indicate how financial reliance may become political power: the railway project in Kenya and the Hambantota Port in Sri Lanka. Examined are changes in national policy or ownership of strategic assets resulting from loan terms, repayment problems, and other circumstances. Using economic data, policy analysis, and international relations theory, this study investigates the exposure of developing countries to the hazards and possible advantages of BRI involvement. Although the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) shows enormous promise for expansion, the paper contends that it also asks for more openness, responsibility, and multilateral protections to prevent imbalances in the relationship and questions sovereignty.

**Key Words:** Debt Diplomacy; Sovereignty; Belt and Road Initiative (BRI); Infrastructure Investment; Geopolitical Influence

### INTRODUCTION

Usually a strong nation, one utilizes loans or financial assistance deliberately to affect the internal or international policy decisions of another, usually a smaller one. We term this activity debt diplomacy and sovereignty. Usman, Z. (2023). When a borrower nation finds difficulty paying back its loans, a lending nation may use its financial might to acquire political or economic leverage over a borrowing nation, therefore enabling the lender to demand things like diplomatic alignment or control over important assets. By doing this, the receiving country runs the danger of having its sovereignty compromised, therefore undermining its ability to exercise total control over its resources and infrastructure and make independent decisions. Given their huge debt, a common issue in South Asia and Africa, some fear China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) could affect those countries. Often cited is the well-known Hambantota

Port in Sri Lanka; the nation leased the port to a Chinese company after struggling to pay back its debt. Debt diplomacy thus creates problems of independence, reliance, and the morality of global finance since it makes it more difficult to determine when help is for development or strategic control. Alden, C. (2020). Presented by China's then-President Xi Jinping in 2013, the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) is a scheme for global infrastructure investment. The declared goal of the strategy is to establish a "modern-day Silk Road" by strengthening commercial connections among the three continents, hence enhancing economic possibilities. The main emphasis of the project, which also aims to strengthen energy and trade infrastructure, is roads, railroads, ports, airports, power plants, and digital linkage via telecommunication networks. Ali, A. (2019). The BRI consists essentially in two sections: the Silk Road Economic Belt, a land-based path linking China to Europe via Central Asia and the Middle East, Using water, the 21st century maritime Silk Road would link China with South-east Asia, Africa, and Europe. As part of the strategy, China is lending money, building projects, and promoting economic cooperation—all of which involve large expenditures in infrastructure and funding for underdeveloped nations. Bhattacharjee, D. (2021). Advocates and critics of the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) abound. Some believe that the BRI compromises the sustainability of their debt, while others worry that China would acquire too much political power in the member states—especially those with fragile economies or bad leadership.

The BRI might be seen as a component in China's geopolitical strategy, which seeks to position China as a global economic giant by means of debt diplomacy so augmenting the country's influence. Allison, G. (2020). China has to give South Asia and Africa top priority if it is to reach its more ambitious geopolitical objectives. Because of their proximity to China and the paths they employ to get elsewhere, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and India are strategically significant South Asian countries. Africa offers China raw materials as well as possible consumers as it is so rich in natural resources. Tracker, C. G. I. (2022). The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) intends to build large-scale infrastructure projects in each of these regions, therefore strengthening China's global presence. Many African and South Asian countries are heavily indebted owing to Chinese loans; this is in line with China's objectives of encouraging economic development and establishing long-term trade routes and logistical hubs. These areas are poised for fast population increase and economic development, thus significant investments in transportation, port facilities, and power grids all of which China is ready to supply are needed. Asirwatham, G. (2023). This has brought to light a debt-trap situation whereby countries are unable to pay back their loans and must make political and financial compromises. Fearing for their sovereignty, nations like Kenya and Sri Lanka have past faced such challenges that might cause loss of control over significant national resources. Bhattacharjee, J. (2024). The growing interdependence of the world economy on the rising nations of South Asia and Africa is resulting from Through the BRI, they show development potential; never-

theless, they are also exposed to issues related to China's growing political and financial sway. This makes reaching development in line with sustaining national autonomy a difficult dynamic. These sectors provide valuable insights on the consequences of China's Belt and Road Initiative on regional economy, sovereignty, and government. Chellaney, B. (2022). Examining the African and South Asian settings helps one to better appreciate the wider effects of China's worldwide infrastructure plan. This paper attempts to investigate how the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) of China has impacted political and economic freedom of African and South Asian countries. The main objective of the study is to find how China's infrastructure and financial spending have impacted the countries' capacity to make independent political and economic decisions. Analyzing the mechanics of debt diplomacy, the study seeks to determine whether or not BRI projects lead to political concessions, if they cause recipient states to become more dependent on China, or whether they induce the erosion of sovereignty. Clarke, M. (2017).

It offers understanding of the emerging debt diplomacy paradigm, in which political bargaining chips are loans for infrastructure and investments. Examining South Asian and African countries helps the research to clarify the far-reaching effects of these economic dependence. Policymakers in the member countries and international organizations can utilize the data to better grasp the merits and cons of joining the BRI. Understanding these dynamics helps one to protect national interests and guarantee ongoing economic growth. Gong, X. (2020). Given China's rise to become a major participant in the world, this study offers a critical analysis of how economic ties may become strategic influence, therefore changing world power dynamics and international relations. Hassan, M. A. (2023). Governments must have a balanced view of development possibilities and the risk of losing sovereignty if they are to properly manage international alliances without sacrificing political autonomy. This study produces exactly that.

#### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

Scholars have examined closely China's foreign policy change toward a concentrate on global influence through projects like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) away from regional security and economic prosperity. From a strategic standpoint, books like "The China Model: Political Meritocracy and the Limits of Democracy" and "Destined for War: Can America and China Escape Thucydides's Trap" examine China's foreign policies, especially its aspirations to create worldwide trade channels. Forceful Domancy or Quiet Ascent? China has coined the term "peaceful rise" to describe its approach toward attaining world superpower status without turning to military might. Critics of "The China Threat: Perception, Myths, and Reality" and related studies argue that the BRI and other manifestations of China's increasing assertiveness fit a more general goal to project soft power and influence abroad. Hawkins, A. (2023).

Particularly via initiatives like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), articles like "China's Soft Power and International Relations" explore the ways China uses its cultural and economic strength to create alliances and globally influence. Jacob, J. T. (2021). Enhancing Global Connectivity and Promoting Infrastructure Development: Much of the written about the BRI's influence on economic development and international trade has focused on this. Research including "The New Silk Roads: The Present and Future of the World" and "The Belt and Road Initiative: A Chinese World Order" argue that the Belt and Road Initiative is an attempt by China to transform global trade routes, so providing member countries with economic opportunities while increasing China's commercial and political weight. Jain, R. (2022). Under what some view as "debt-trap diplomacy," the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has come under fire for lending money to underdeveloped countries at risk of excessive debt loads. Both "China's Belt and Road Initiative and the Debt Trap" and "Destined for Debt: How China's Belt and Road Initiative is Reshaping the Global Economic Landscape" (Balding, 2020) have looked at the possible risks of depending so much on other nations and how this might affect the independence of nations owing debt. "The China-Pakistan Economic Corridor: A New Frontier of China's Belt and Road Initiative" and related studies look at the likelihood that infrastructure projects funded by the Belt and Road Initiative might create geopolitical leverage, so enabling China to have more impact over African and South Asian countries. Jalil, G.

Y. (2023). Among the benefits and drawbacks of BRI projects in South Asia and Africa that have been much addressed in the literature are job creation, infrastructure development, and economic growth. Although the Belt and Road Initiative has many advantages, some academics have contended that especially in countries with less strong governments, there is a notable risk of political reliance and debt accumulation. Jha, P. (2020). Some scholarly research has focused on the political and social consequences of BRI initiatives. Examining how China's Belt and Road Initiative in South Asia can affect the balance of power, influence internal politics, and increase reliance on China, a strategic and security analysis of China's engagement in the area looks at Books such as "The Belt and Road Initiative: A Global Strategy" have expressed concerns about how BRI projects may impact society and the environment, particularly with respect to resource management, environmental sustainability, and land purchasing. Geopolitical Rivalry: There has been intense debate on how the BRI's influence on rising hostilities between China, India, and the US might affect Studies including "The Strategic Implications of China's Belt and Road Initiative," say the BRI is altering the global power dynamic with contradictory narratives of dominance and cooperation, especially in Africa and Asia. Kurian, A. L., Vinodan, C. (2023). Research like "China's Belt and Road Initiative: A Chinese World Order" look at how the BRI fits China's internal political agenda—that of the CCP's endeavours to uphold power and forward Chinese exceptionalism—particularly. Many view the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) as China's means of increasing



internal stability and thereby augmenting its economy. Debt diplomacy is sometimes understood as letting creditor countries political power over debt-ridden countries. Debt-trap diplomacy is shown by massive infrastructure loans from China's Belt and Road Initiative resulting in political concessions and economic reliance. Kynge, J. (2023). China is a nation where large loans for infrastructure projects in return for strategic influence are not unusual, but these authors contend that this behavior compromises the sovereignty of other countries. Examining China's engagement in the African continent, the book "The Dragon's Gift: The Real Story of China in Africa" reveals how, despite their ostensibly development-boosting advantages, Chinese loans often have political ramifications including increased influence in African political decision-making. Maluki, P., & Lemmy, N. (2021). Strategic lending seeks to use loans and investments to achieve both short-term financial rewards and longer-term strategic objectives. book "Asian Juggernaut" shows how strategically loans from China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) sometimes involve the use of debt to seize control over important infrastructure including railroads and ports. One shining example of this strategy is the Hambantota Port in Sri Lanka; China leased it after the nation defaulted on financing. Studies show that countries run the danger of losing their sovereignty when they rely more on foreign creditors with opposing political objectives depending on strategic loans, therefore compromising their independence. investigate the consequences of this within the context of China's growing global influence, suggesting that this type of loan both increases China's soft power and helps to foster economic development. Makhdoom, A. S., Shah, A. B., & Sami, K (2022).

China is often the center of debate on debt diplomacy because of its significant participation in world funding via programs like the Belt and Road Initiative. Friedberg's "China's Grand Strategy" (2019) claims that China wants to build a "new world order" in which it has great political and financial sway. One approach it intends to accomplish this is by raising its economic impact by means of calculated lending. Debt diplomacy helps partner nations' economies grow and lets China influence world standards and practices, therefore adding still another level of complication to China's already complex foreign ties. "The China Model: Can It Replace the West?" claims that while China's lending policies help fund infrastructure projects in underdeveloped countries, they also raise concerns about long-term debt and the political impact China gets as a result. With an eye toward South Asian and African countries, much of the published material addresses the growing concern over debt dependence. In "Destined for Debt," one contends that by encouraging political and economic dependence, China's autocratic lending practices compromise national sovereignty The risks countries run when their capacity to pay back their debts becomes unsustainable. He uses Sri Lanka as an example to explain how the debt issues of the nation led to the controversial sale of the Hambantota Port to China, which subsequently raised questions on the nation's capacity to keep

control over its most precious assets. discusses the ramifications for South Asian nations further, noting that the region's financial crisis susceptibility makes it prone to China's geopolitical influence, hence possibly changing the balance of regional power. Maluki, P., & Lemmy, N. (2022).

While most of the conversation revolves on the possible risks associated with debt diplomacy, other analysts point out its benefits for development. Underdeveloped countries can gain from the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), according to the paper "China's Belt and Road Initiative: A New Kind of Globalization," by getting much-needed infrastructure financing, which can then help to create new trade routes and foster economic growth. Zhang cautions, nevertheless, that often the long-term benefits are subordinated to the risks of large debt loads, which can limit political autonomy and national capacity for decision-making. "Globalization and Its Discontents Revisited" argues that debt diplomacy can be an instrument for supporting reform and infrastructure development; but, the terms tied to loans should be open and support fair development to prevent the establishment of new dependents. Marchang, R. (2021). With an eye on the West's response, academics have also examined how other nations have responded to debt diplomacy. Examining the American viewpoint on China's increasing worldwide influence, Article "The U.S.-China Power Struggle: Implications for Global Governance" emphasizes the nation's use of debt diplomacy as a weapon in its struggle against supposed challenges to the current international system. Markey, D. S., & West, J. (2023). "China's Strategic Engagement with South Asia" explores China's strategic lending in South Asia as seen by India and other regional nations as a challenge to their dominance. The United States and its allies have promoted alternative finance systems to balance China's sway. The Blue Dot Network is one such platform offering transparent infrastructure finance with governance standards. Eder, T. S. (2023). According to the literature, large powers like the US, China, and the EU's infrastructure investments cause questions about economic reliance and possible erosion of national sovereignty.

"Destined for Debt" argues that countries that depend mostly on infrastructure loans from outside sources could have restrictions on their policy autonomy since they are forced to match their economic policies to the interests of their creditors. Sehrawat, A. (2024). A result of foreign lending policies, debt reliance can force recipient governments to make political and strategic concessions in exchange for financial aid; this is something China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) addresses. Asgarov, S. (2024). warns that the pressure to pay back loans acquired from foreign investment in infrastructure runs developing countries especially those in South Asia the risk of losing their economic freedom. These obligations allow governments to prioritize infrastructure investments over social welfare and environmental protection, even if doing so runs against local people's preferences. Infrastructure investment is a

geopolitical negotiating tool used often by China and other nations with growing political and financial might. Malik, K., Tanveer, A., & Arif, R. (2023).

"China's Belt and Road Initiative: A New Kind of Globalization" underlines that BRI aims not only for more economic development but also for more global China's influence. Some view China's infrastructure spending in South Asia and Africa as a way for the government to consolidate economic dominance in those areas, therefore undermining the sovereignty of the nations that get such cash. Moučka, O. (2025). Infrastructure projects including significant political influence and the ability to shift regional power relations often involve ports, highways, and railroads. One widely mentioned example is the Sri Lankan Hambantota Port, when concerns about the erosion of Sri Lanka's territorial sovereignty emerged following the nation's ability to lease the port for 99 years to a Chinese company unable to pay back Chinese debt. These illustrations highlight how powerful states could employ foreign investments to serve their own interests at the expense of the independence of the recipient nation. The literature indicates that foreign investment in infrastructure and national security runs counter to one another. Fearing security concerns, governments in receiving countries could run across local opposition should foreign companies own or manage important infrastructure. The paper "China's Strategic Engagement with South Asia" explores the issue of how outside investment can compromise regional security. Makoka, M. (2025). Should foreign entities—especially those from rival countries own ports, airports, and communication systems, the host country may face security concerns. Viewed as part of Beijing's geopolitical aim to surround the Indian subcontinent and raise its influence in the Indian Ocean, Chinese investments in Pakistan's Gwadar Port and other regional projects have drawn criticism in India. "China's Belt and Road Initiative and South Asia" argues that infrastructure investments made by nations could indicate increased foreign military presence or more foreign influence on national decision-making. Carmody, P., Zajontz, T., & Reboredo, R. (2022).

This is especially true when security arrangements also play a part. For countries striving for their freedom, this becomes an ever more urgent concern as global influence increases. Another problem that surface when foreign investors fund infrastructure projects is questions regarding the social and environmental sovereignty of the recipient nation. Gülseven, Y. (2023). "Environmental Impacts of China's Belt and Road Initiative" notes that quick infrastructure development sometimes comes first above local environmental rules and social rights. Megaprojects sponsored abroad may violate local environmental rules, so producing unsustainable development methods. Threats to social sovereignty of governments that might feel compelled to give economic development first priority over these issues include the relocation of local inhabitants and the damage of ecosystems. investigates the possible difficulties local government has when infrastructure projects are mostly sponsored by international investors, who usually ignore local needs and preferences. Political elites



could prioritize foreign investments over national sovereignty, therefore aggravating civil unrest and weakening the country's sovereignty from within. Mutai, N. C., Cuong, N. M., Dervishaj, V., Kiarie, J. W., Misango, P., Ibeh, L., ... & Lallmahamood, M. (2024).

In response to worries about sovereignty and foreign infrastructure investment, the World Bank, the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB), and the United Nations have among the multilateral organizations ramped up their efforts to support governance standards and transparency in international investment. By tracking foreign direct investment to ensure it is ethical, open, and in line with national development goals, "Globalization and Its Discontents Revisited" argues IFIs can help lower dangers to national sovereignty. Himmer, M., & Rod,

Z. (2022). Here is where global governance structures help to ensure that the sovereignty of developing countries is respected while foreign infrastructure investment drives economic development. Some argue that while handling issues of non-Western countries, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund are biased toward Western interests and do not always behave impartially. Singh, A. (2020). The development of alternative investment models like China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has underlined how poorly multilateral organizations are led. When faced with powerful foreign investors, these institutions might not be ready to manage problems of national sovereignty and autonomy.

#### **RESEARCH GAPS**

While research on debt diplomacy and sovereignty looks at the political and economic consequences, little is known about the social and long-term implications of foreign infrastructure spending. Many studies essentially focus on economic dependencies without giving local government structures and community involvement enough thought. Less thought has gone toward the social and political effects on underprivileged groups as well as the environmental consequences than the geopolitical ones. Moreover, especially in regions where China's influence is rising, the role international institutions play in lowering challenges to sovereignty is yet unknown. At last, as fresh financial models emerge, little study has been done on how the relationships between lending countries and those receiving help are evolving.

#### **THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

Joseph Nye's soft power theory holds that, usually by its foreign policy, cultural practices, or principles, a government can exercise influence over other nations more by seduction than force. China invests in Africa and South Asia economically using its Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) in order to progressively acquire political and cultural sway. Debt diplomacy is this approach. China impacts regional policies, enhances diplomatic relations, and promotes economic reliance by means of infrastructure project funding. Still, this kind of influence raises issues concerning sovereignty since nations could feel under pressure to fit China's objectives in exchange for financial aid, therefore compromising their autonomy. China's debt diplomacy

demonstrates how soft power may be applied for both cultural interaction and strategic economic leverage.

### **METHODOLOGY**

The qualitative research strategy applied in this study consists on case study analysis and document review. Two major areas of Africa and South Asia are selected based on their strategic relevance in China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) and their sensitivity to Chinese infrastructure investment. Using case studies of countries including Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Kenya, and Ethiopia, we examine the effects of debt diplomacy on sovereignty, economy, and politics. One sort of main data source is theme analysis. Secondary data from scientific publications, policy papers, and reliable news sources has been gathered using content analysis. Twenty more respondents, all subject-matter experts, were also asked using a five-question approach to guarantee reliable findings. Purposive sampling was used to guarantee the accuracy of the present research.

### **DATA ANALYSIS**

#### **Q- 1 CHINESE-FUNDED INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS UNDER THE BRI INFLUENCED THE POLICYMAKING AUTONOMY OF RECIPIENT COUNTRIES IN AFRICA OR SOUTH ASIA?**

<b>Theme</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Strategic Asset Control</b>	Recipient countries sometimes relinquish control over key assets (e.g., ports, railways) when unable to repay debts, limiting their sovereignty in national infrastructure decisions.
<b>Policy Conditionality and Alignment</b>	BRI loans often come with implicit expectations of political or diplomatic alignment with Chinese interests, shaping foreign and domestic policy stances.
<b>Debt-Driven Policy Constraints</b>	High debt servicing obligations reduce fiscal space, compelling governments to prioritize repayment over domestic policy goals or social spending.
<b>Weak Negotiating Power</b>	Asymmetrical power dynamics and lack of transparency in agreements leave recipient states with limited leverage in renegotiating terms or asserting independent policy choices.

### **DESCRIPTION**

African and South Asian countries have seen their ability to make economic decisions reduced by China's BRI investments. The earliest case of strategic asset control is when countries in debt must turnover important assets like ports or trains. Because of loans from China under BRI, government leaders from participating countries often change their decisions to fit what China wants, even if such changes are never clearly required. Additionally, after governments get loans, they frequently cannot use the needed money for their country since most of it is put aside for loan repayment. In addition, because weaker nations aren't in a

strong position in trade talks, China can suggest terms for BRI that are unfair and decrease the ability of those countries to eventually reopen the agreement.

**Q 2: SPECIFIC CONDITIONS OR CLAUSES IN CHINESE LOAN AGREEMENTS THAT YOU BELIEVE MAY CONTRIBUTE TO CONCERNS ABOUT NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY OR POLITICAL LEVERAGE?**

Theme	Description
<b>Confidentiality Clauses</b>	Many Chinese loan agreements include strict confidentiality provisions, preventing recipient countries from disclosing terms to the public or third parties, which limit transparency and public accountability.
<b>Collateralization of Strategic Assets</b>	Some agreements require collateral in the form of strategic national assets (e.g., ports, mineral rights), creating the risk of asset forfeiture in case of default and raising sovereignty concerns.
<b>Exclusion from Paris Club Standards</b>	Chinese loans often operate outside traditional multilateral debt frameworks, making it difficult for debtor countries to coordinate restructuring or benefit from collective relief.
<b>Chinese Arbitration Jurisdiction</b>	Dispute resolution clauses often designate Chinese courts or arbitration institutions as the venue, placing recipient countries at a legal disadvantage and undermining their judicial autonomy.

**DESCRIPTION**

Recurring contractual features in Chinese loan agreements that may undermine the national sovereignty of recipient countries. First, confidentiality clauses are commonly embedded in BRI loan contracts, restricting governments from publicly disclosing loan terms or engaging with third-party evaluators, which compromise transparency and democratic oversight. Second, the collateralization of strategic assets involves using critical infrastructure such as ports, energy facilities, or mineral reserves as security for loans, increasing the risk of asset forfeiture in the event of default and raising alarms over national control. Third, the exclusion from Paris Club standards reflects China's preference to operate outside multilateral lending norms, which can isolate debtor countries during debt crises and limit their access to coordinated restructuring or debt relief mechanisms. Finally, Chinese arbitration jurisdiction clauses frequently stipulate that legal disputes must be resolved in Chinese courts or arbitration bodies, potentially disadvantaging recipient countries and reducing their ability to defend sovereign interests under neutral legal frameworks.

**Q 3: TO WHAT EXTENT DO YOU THINK THE DEBT BURDENS ASSOCIATED WITH BRI PROJECTS HAVE ALTERED RECIPIENT COUNTRIES' CONTROL OVER STRATEGIC NATIONAL ASSETS?**

Theme	Description
<b>Loss of Sovereignty Over Strategic Assets</b>	Debt burdens often compel recipient countries to offer key national assets (e.g., ports, railways) as collateral, risking loss of control if loan repayments are not met.
<b>Increased Chinese In-fluence</b>	Default or repayment struggles may lead to Chinese entities gaining operational control or significant stakes in strategic infrastructure, thereby increasing China's geopolitical influence.
<b>Debt Servicing Priori- tization</b>	Countries burdened by debt may shift their policy priorities towards debt repayment, often at the cost of domestic economic or social development initiatives, limiting independent decision-making.
<b>Negotiation Imbal- ance</b>	Countries with heavy debt obligations have limited bargaining power, making them more susceptible to unfavourable terms, including the potential transfer of control over vital assets

**DESCRIPTION**

The analysis identifies how debt issues from Chinese-sponsored BRI projects can change how various countries direct and invest in their main strategic assets. The first result is that countries facing debt difficulties might have to risk losing their infrastructure such as ports or railways, if they fail to service their debts. In addition, it's notable that when countries fail to fulfill their debts, Chinese firms or businesses end up controlling or owning these assets, making China more powerful in the area. As a result, countries are pressured to pay their loans before spending on development or helping their populations which makes it tough for them to act independently in support of growth. Because of the heavy debt they must handle, debtor countries have little power during discussions, so they might be persuaded to relinquish important and potentially strategic resources.

**Q 4: LOCAL GOVERNANCE STRUCTURES AND INSTITUTIONAL TRANSPARENCY AFFECT A COUNTRY'S VUL- NERABILITY TO DEBT DIPLOMACY WITHIN THE BRI FRAMEWORK?**

Theme	Description
<b>Weak Governance and Accountability</b>	Countries with weak governance structures or poor accountability mechanisms are more susceptible to opaque loan agreements, as local governments may be less effective in scrutinizing or negotiating fa- vourable terms.

**Corruption and  
management**

**Mis-**Lack of transparency in the decision-making process can lead to corruption or mismanagement of BRI loans, exacerbating the risk of unmanageable debt and undermining national sovereignty.

**Institutional Capacity  
to Negotiate**

Strong institutional frameworks with clear legal and financial systems help governments negotiate better terms and resist unfair loan conditions, while weak institutions often leave countries vulnerable to unfavourable agreements.



**Public and Civil  
Society Engagement**

High levels of institutional transparency allow for public and civil society involvement in scrutinizing loan agreements, reducing the likelihood of debt-driven political concessions.

**DESCRIPTION**

Key elements of government responsibility and transparency influencing a country's vulnerability to debt diplomacy grounded on BRI. First of all, governments without efficient monitoring systems are more prone to be caught in dubious loan agreements since weak governance and responsibility lead to this situation. These governments lack the ability to sufficiently probe or negotiate favourable agreements, so they are more prone to be abused. Moreover, open and easily available decision-making processes help to prevent corruption and mismanagement. Controlling debt and preserving national sovereignty becomes increasingly difficult for nations when BRI loans are misallocated. Thirdly, a nation's capacity to oppose unfair conditions depends much on its institutional capacity to negotiate. A nation with strong institutional structures—that is, open financial and legal systems—will be more suited to negotiate favorable terms; a nation with poor institutions will be more likely to accept bad bargains. The fourth reason is that public and civil society working together helps people and groups to hold governments to responsibility more easily. Highly transparent institutions enable more public scrutiny that reduces the likelihood of political concessions motivated by debt compromising national sovereignty.

**Q: 5 INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTIONS OR REGIONAL BODIES PLAY IN ENSURING THAT BRI-RELATED INVESTMENTS SUPPORT SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT WITHOUT COMPROMISING SOVEREIGNTY?**

Theme	Description
<b>Standard-Setting Policy Guidance</b>	<b>and</b> International institutions like the World Bank, IMF, and UNDP provide frameworks, best practices, and sustainability standards to help recipient countries evaluate and manage BRI investments.
<b>Transparency Oversight Mechanisms</b>	<b>and</b> Regional and international bodies can encourage greater transparency in loan agreements and project implementation, helping prevent exploitative or opaque practices.
<b>Dispute Resolution Legal Support</b>	<b>and</b> These bodies can offer neutral legal platforms and technical assistance for resolving disputes and renegotiating unfair terms, reducing dependency on Chinese-controlled mechanisms.
<b>Capacity Building Technical Assistance</b>	<b>and</b> Multilateral institutions assist in strengthening local governance, improving negotiation skills, and enhancing project evaluation capacity to ensure informed, sovereign decision-making.

**DESCRIPTION**

Crucially important roles regional and international organizations play in helping beneficiary countries responsibly and sustainably manage BRI contributions. First of all, international organizations including the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund, and the United

Nations Development Program (UNDP) give governments internationally approved frameworks, best practices, and sustainability criteria for assessing the viability and long-term viability of BRI projects. Second, these organizations offer transparency and monitoring mechanisms to support open disclosure of loan terms, project contracts, and implementation progress, therefore reducing the possibility of hidden clauses or exploitative conditions. Third, countries shouldn't rely just on Chinese legal institutions to settle contractual conflicts or renegotiate unfair terms; dispute resolution and legal support systems provide unbiased venues and qualified legal assistance. Last but not least, by means of capacity building and technical support, multilateral organizations enable recipient nations to become better negotiators, risk assessors, and developers of governance systems safeguarding sovereignty while they pursue infrastructure and development goals.

### **POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

National sovereignty cannot be compromised by infrastructure development, hence countries in South Asia and Africa should approach BRI projects more deliberately. Comprehensive studies of debt sustainability, more openness, and strengthening institutional capacity are absolutely vital. These governments should support regional cooperation for stronger bargaining positions and information exchange. Two advantages of varying investment sources are less reliance and more negotiating leverage. Ensuring that BRI projects coincide with national development goals and under public scrutiny will help to protect sovereignty and stability over the long run. Regarding BRI investments, international agencies such as the UN and the IMF have to be quite important in promoting openness, debt sustainability, and fair financing practices. Legal guidance, technical support, and capacity-building will let recipient nations enhance their negotiating posture and government. These groups can put up methods to monitor things and assist with multilateral debt coordination so as to prevent excessive borrowing. Encouragement of the application of international standards during financing of infrastructure projects helps to preserve sovereignty. Early involvement will help to ensure that development is equitable and well-planned. Future infrastructure funding sources have to stress openness, sustainability, and fair development if they are to avoid the dangers of debt diplomacy. Multilateral and cooperative finance solutions including several stakeholders are required to reduce dependency on individual lenders and guarantee more fair conditions. These models should incorporate careful assessments of the debt sustainability and strategies for long-term monitoring to help to avoid financial instability. Investment planning should so incorporate social and environmental aspects in order to ensure that projects benefit nearby populations. Development of infrastructure should support world cooperation as well as national sovereignty; this trend toward more open and transparent procedures will help to realize both.

### **CONCLUSION**

The paper comes to the conclusion that China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) poses serious dangers to recipient countries' independence, notwithstanding the several possible

advantages to their infrastructure and economy. Growing debt has caused a loss of control over important assets, particularly in cases of poor openness and inadequate leadership. Chinese loans' terms usually support China's geopolitical goals and lack any responsibility clauses. Promoting more openness, more fair legislation, and more sustainable development practices is one significant way international organizations can contribute to help with these issues. This study investigates the consequences of BRI debt diplomacy on South Asian and African country sovereignty in order to respond to the research topics. Usually associated with political expectations, collateral provisions, and uncertain loan terms, Chinese investment for projects might limit the governing autonomy of the receiving nations. Research of nations like Kenya and Sri Lanka shows a clear link between debt crises and strategic concessions. The degree of institutional transparency and the quality of government turned shown to be crucial factors influencing vulnerability. Moreover underlined is the need of international institutions in advancing fair, sustainable, sovereign development results. Based on the outcomes, nations engaged in BRI projects have to start right away implementing policies to enhance their governance and raise openness. Policymakers should give independent control top importance and loan arrangements should be accessible to public and parliamentary review. Programs for capacity-building and regional cooperation help to improve state negotiating strength.

Future research should concentrate on finance strategies for sustainable development and the long-term socioeconomic consequences of BRI projects. The function of international institutions in resolving conflicts and establishing norms needs to be reinforced. Views of China's debt diplomacy through the BRI are influencing its worldwide reputation. While some view China's practices as exploitative and a threat to the sovereignty of smaller countries, others regard it as a significant ally in development who can supply much-needed infrastructure. Concerns regarding strategic impact have developed in reaction to events like the Sri Lankan port lease. This contradicting perspective jeopardizes China's credibility, therefore compromising its aims for soft power. By boosting openness and supporting fair cooperation, China may aim toward a more positive and responsible global image. The financial commitments linked with the BRI significantly influences the sovereignty of the host nation when strategic assets are promised as security or when loan limits influence governmental decisions. These countries' inadequate government and lack of openness make them already exposed to outside domination and less policy autonomy. The possibility to lose control over important infrastructure compromises national decision-making power. Sovereignty suffers when financial reliance starts to be used as political tool. Should host countries be committed to safeguarding their sovereignty, they will strengthen their institutional systems and advocate more transparent and equitable agreements. The BRI has revolutionized international infrastructure investment by providing large-scale financing free from political restrictions attached, but this has come at the cost of openness and debt pay-off capacity. This has resulted in demand for fresh sources of money that give sustainability,

international cooperation, and openness top importance. In view of growing criticism of China's lending policies, recipient countries should look for other investment partners. Given the growing intensity of competition, fairness, environmental sustainability, and local empowerment are probably going to take front stage in next infrastructure projects. This shift could transform the way the Global South handles money for development.

## REFERENCES

- Alden, C. (2020). Understanding debt and diplomacy: China,'debt traps' and development in the Global South.
- Ali, A. (2019). China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): Prospects and challenges for regional integration. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Humanity Studies*, 7(1), 1-15.
- Allison, G. (2020). What Xi Jinping Wants. *The Atlantic*, 31.
- Asgarov, S. (2024). The Analysis of China's "Debt Diplomacy" in the South Caucasus. *Orta Doğu ve Orta Asya-Kafkaslar Araştırma ve Uygulama Merkezi Dergisi*, 4(1), 63-81.
- Asirwatham, G. (2023). Overview of Sri Lanka-China relations. Lakshman Kadirgamar Institute. LKI Blog on International Relations.
- Bhattacharjee, D. (2021). China Pakistan economic corridor. Available at SSRN 2608927.
- Bhattacharjee, J. (2024). Bangladesh: Riding the BRI Tide. Mapping the Belt and Road Initiative: Reach, Implications, Consequences. Observer Research Foundation.
- Carmody, P., Zajontz, T., & Reboredo, R. (2022). From 'debt diplomacy' to donor ship? China's changing role in global development. *Global Political Economy*, 1-20.
- Chellaney, B. (2022). China's debt-trap diplomacy. *Project syndicate*, 23, 2017.
- Clarke, M. (2017). The Belt and Road Initiative: China's new grand strategy?. *Asia Policy*, 24(1), 71-79.
- Eder, T. S. (2023). Mapping the Belt and Road initiative: this is where we stand. *Mercator Institute for China Studies*, 7.
- Gong, X. (2020). China's economic statecraft. *Security Challenges*, 16(3), 39-46.
- Gülseven, Y. (2023). China's Belt and Road Initiative and South-South Cooperation. *Journal of Balkan and Near Eastern Studies*, 25(1), 102-117.
- Hassan, M. A. (2023). Growing China-India Competition in the Indian Ocean. *Strategic Studies*, 39(1), 77-89.
- Hawkins, A. (2023). China 'world's biggest debt collector' as poorer nations struggle with its loans. *The Guardian*.
- Himmer, M., & Rod, Z. (2022). Chinese debt trap diplomacy: reality or myth?. *Journal of the Indian Ocean Region*, 18(3), 250-272.
- Jacob, J. T. (2021). China's Belt and Road Initiative and its implications for India. *Heinrich Böll Stiftung*, 25.
- Jain, R. (2022). China's economic expansion in South Asia. *Indian Journal of Asian Affairs*, 31(1/2), 21-36.
- Jalil, G. Y. (2023). China's Rise. *Strategic Studies*, 39(1), 41-58.

- Jha, P. (2020). Book review: Manoranjan Mohanty (2017). China's Transformation: The Success Story and the Success Trap. *Journal of Political Science (JPS)*, 1(1).
- Kurian, A. L., & Vinodan, C. (2023). India and China in the Indian Ocean: Changing Dimensions of Maritime Strategy. *Journal of Economic & Social Studies (JECOSS)*, 8(1).
- Kynge, J. (2023). China grants billions in bailouts as Belt and Road Initiative falters. *Financial Times*, 28.
- Makhdoom, A. S., Shah, A. B., & Sami, K. (2022). Pakistan on the roadway to socio- economic development: A comprehensive study of China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). *Government: Research Journal of Political Science*, 6(6).
- Makoka, M. (2025). Discourse of China Foreign Aid and Debt Trap-Diplomacy in Sub- Saharan Africa: An Afrocentric Perspective. *Journal of African Foreign Affairs*, 12(1), 29.
- Malik, K., Tanveer, A., & Arif, R. (2023). DEBUNKING THE MYTH AND REALITY OF CHINESE DEBT TRAP DIPLOMACY AND CHINA'S NEW GEOPOLITICS. *The*
- Maluki, P., & Lemmy, N. (2021). Is China's Development Diplomacy in Horn of Africa Transforming into Debt-Trap Diplomacy? An Evaluation. *The HORN Bulletin*, 2(1), 9-17.
- Maluki, P., & Lemmy, N. (2022). Is China's Development Diplomacy in Horn of Africa Transforming into Debt-Trap Diplomacy? An Evaluation. *The HORN Bulletin*, 2(1), 9-17.
- Marchang, R. (2021). BCIM economic corridor an integral part of BRI for regional cooperation: Positioning India's North-East and act east policy. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 8(2), 249-269.
- Markey, D. S., & West, J. (2023). Behind China's gambit in Pakistan. *Council on Foreign Relations*, 5(1), 31.
- Moučka, O. (2025). The economics of China's Belt and Road Initiative in Africa: debt dependency and development finance. assessing financial risk and geopolitical influence in key African states.
- Mutai, N. C., Cuong, N. M., Dervishaj, V., Kiarie, J. W., Misango, P., Ibeh, L., ... & Lallmahamood, M. (2024). Examining the sustainability of African debt owed to China in the context of debt-trap diplomacy. *Scientific African*, 24, e02164.
- Sehrawat, A. (2024). China's Belt and Road Initiative: Analysing Diplomatic Impacts and Challenges.
- Singh, A. (2020). The myth of 'debt-trap diplomacy'and realities of Chinese development finance. *Third World Quarterly*, 42(2), 239-253.
- Tracker, C. G. I. (2022). China global investment tracker. American Enterprise Institute and the Heritage Foundation. [www.aei.org/china-global-investment-tracker](http://www.aei.org/china-global-investment-tracker).
- Usman, Z. (2023). What do we know about Chinese lending in Africa. *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace Article*. Accessed July, 26, 2022.